# Annotators' Perspectives: Exploring the Influence of Identity on Interpreting

# Misogynoir

## Codes

| Name | Description | Files | References |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Annotations |  | 1 | 1612 |
| Allyship |  | 1 | 1102 |
| Misogynoir |  | 1 | 510 |
| Context |  | 1 | 590 |
| Author characterisation | This code is for statements in which the participants directly reference the author's previous legacy data about the tweet’s author (i.e the author's previous tweets, information on his bio etc) or their assumptions about the author as the grounds for their decision or interpretation. | 1 | 62 |
| Deleted text or content | This code is for statements in which the participants directly reference the deletion of a tweet and as the grounds for the decision. | 1 | 14 |
| I don't understand | This code is for justifications that I am not able to make sense of or able to code to any of our initial codes | 1 | 89 |
| Lack of clarity | This code is for statements in which the participants based on the tweet being unclear, not understanding or confusing as the grounds for the decision. | 1 | 187 |
| Needs further information | This code is for statements in which the participants mention the need for more details or extra information | 1 | 30 |
| Unspecified author characterisation | This code is for statements in which the participant mentions something about the author (assumptions about the author and what they said) without any given evidence as the grounds for their justification | 1 | 208 |
| Prior Knowledge |  | 1 | 1046 |
| Knowledge about racist and sexist discourse | This code is for statements in which the participants do not talk about personal experiences but express some prior knowledge about racist and sexist discourse | 1 | 50 |
| Lived experience | This code is for statements in which the participants directly reference their own lived experiences in the content as grounds for their interpretation of their justifications. | 1 | 4 |
| Participants' opinions | This code is for statements in which the participants did not name any specific type of evidence but expressed a personal opinion about the tweet.[(subjective opinion without facts or any reference to any information)] | 1 | 992 |
| Tweet's language |  | 1 | 969 |
| Absence of racist or sexist terminology | This code is for statements in which the participants directly reference the absence of specific racist or sexist terms as the grounds for their interpretation of their justifications. | 1 | 40 |
| Perceived absence of an attack on a Black woman | This code is for statements in which the participant explicitly states that they perceive no attacks on a person on the basis that the person is a Black woman. | 1 | 66 |
| Perceived attack on a Black woman | This code is for statements in which the participants perceive an attack on a person on the basis that the person is a Black woman (If the justification is attached to an annotation of misogynoir, it can be presumed that the person feels this attack is on the basis of the person’s being a Black woman, whether they explicitly state this or not) | 1 | 208 |
| Presence of racist or sexist terminology | This code is for statements in which the participants state that their justification is based on the presence of explicitly racist or sexist terms. | 1 | 57 |
| Specified language | This code is for statements in which the participants make references to or mention specific terms or phrases but do not identify them as specifically racist or sexist. | 1 | 65 |
| Support language |  | 1 | 493 |
| Unspecified language | This code is for statements in which the participants make references to something about the language of the tweet but are not that clear or specified as to what it is. (This will include all justifications around tone that are not accompanied by evidence of that tone - such as would be provided in the following code) | 1 | 40 |